

TEMPORARY LICENSES
(Issued since last board meeting)

Walgreens

Jennifer Shank

Blank



Nevada State Health Division Technical Bulletin



Topic: Registered and Intern Pharmacist Reporting Requirements for Dispensed Tuberculosis Medications per NAC 441A

Section/Program/Contact: Bureau of Health Statistics, Planning, Epidemiology and Response / Tuberculosis Program / Susanne Paulson

Date: May 2011

TO: All Nevada Registered or Intern Pharmacists

The purpose of this bulletin is to notify all registered and intern pharmacists of recent revisions to Nevada Administrative Code 441A requiring pharmacists practicing in the State of Nevada to report suspected or diagnosed cases of tuberculosis (TB) to the health authority. These changes went into effect January 13, 2011.

The new regulations require local or state health authorities be notified whenever two or more TB medications are dispensed to an individual. Medications include: Ethambutol, Isoniazid, Pyrazinamide, Streptomycin, and any member of the Rifamycin group of drugs including, but not limited to, Rifabutin, Rifampin and Rifapentine, or any other newly developed TB medications. For complete reporting requirements please see the regulations at <http://www.leg.state.nv.us/register/2008Register/R087-08A.pdf>, see Section 31.

Reporting by multiple healthcare providers is essential to limit the spread of disease. 441A Section 31 adds registered and intern pharmacists to the list of health care professionals, laboratories, and others responsible for reporting TB (see [NRS 441A.150](#), [NAC 441A.290](#), [NAC 441A.295](#)).

Contact information for your local TB health authority:

Carson City, Douglas and Lyon Counties:

Fax: (775) 887-2138 Phone: (775) 887-2190

Clark County:


Fax: (702) 759-1414 Phone: (702) 759-1370

Washoe County:


Fax: (775) 328-3764 Phone (775) 785-4785

All Other Nevada Counties:

Fax: (775) 684-5999 Phone: (775) 945-3657

Signed: 
Tracey Green, MD, State Health Officer
Nevada State Health Division

Date: May 25, 2011

Signed: 
Richard Whitley, MS, Administrator
Nevada State Health Division

Date: May 25, 2011

Reporting Tuberculosis (TB)

In order to assure all cases and/or suspected cases of tuberculosis (TB) are accounted for and case-managed accordingly, Nevada Administrative Code 441A was recently revised to include registered and intern pharmacists to the list of health care professionals, laboratorians, and others responsible for reporting TB (see NRS 441A.150, NAC 441A.290, NAC 441A.295).

The new regulations require pharmacists practicing in the State of Nevada to report suspected or diagnosed cases of TB to the health authority whenever two or more TB medications are dispensed to an individual. The regulations went into effect January 13, 2011 and can be found at <http://www.leg.state.nv.us/register/2008Register/R087-08A.pdf>, see Section 31.

Reporting by multiple healthcare providers is essential to ensure that each and every TB case is identified and case-managed in a timely manner. Rapid identification, a prompt investigation, proper case-management and timely treatment help prevent further exposure to otherwise healthy people, and lessens the risk of developing drug-resistant strains of TB.

Disciplinary Actions

For more information you may view hearing minutes at www.pharmacy.ok.gov.

11.06. January 19, 2011 Board Hearing

Jennifer Cenicerros, Tech #13453 – Case 1007: Revoked. (Agreed Order)

Lakiesha M. Garrett, Tech #7872 – Case 1009: Revoked.

Jennifer Lee Patton, Tech #2432 – Case 1010: Revoked.

Sarah Suzanne Earles, Tech #11448 – Case 1013: Revoked.

Shawniece L. Patterson, Tech #12930 – Case 1014: Revoked. (Agreed Order)

Jeremy Deon Norman, Tech #12450 – Case 973: Revoked.

Billy Don Wilson, DPh #9893 – Case 1015: \$350 fine and an additional 28 hours of continuing education (CE) to be completed in the calendar year 2011. (Agreed Order)

Tonya Harris, DPh #14070 – Case 1002: Law seminar and \$1,000 fine. (Agreed Order)

Trevor Sipes, DPh #13445 – Case 1003: Law seminar and \$1,500 fine. (Agreed Order)

CVS/Pharmacy No. 02271, #7-5540 – Case 1004: \$30,000 fine. (Agreed Order)

CVS Pharmacy, Inc, #88-W-1397 – Case 1006: \$30,000 fine. (Agreed Order)

McKesson Drug Co, #1-W-231 – Case 1005: \$30,000 fine. (Agreed Order)

Impaired Technician, Tech #14208 – Case 1011: Probation, law seminar, and Oklahoma Pharmacists Helping Pharmacists (OPHP) contract. (Agreed Order)

Impaired Pharmacist, DPh #8828 – Case 1000: Suspension, law seminar, OPHP contract, and \$5,000 fine. (Agreed Order)

11.07. March 2, 2011 Board Hearing

Justin Carroll, Tech #11868 – Case 1019: Revoked. (Agreed Order)

Cheryl M. Desjardins, Tech #6052 – Case 1020: Revoked. (Agreed Order)

Bridget A. Ford, Tech #13231 – Case 1021: Revoked (Agreed Order)

Rasonya R. Ratliff, Tech #14528 – Case 1022: Revoked.

Rickey D. Dixie, Jr, Tech #11034 – Case 1008: \$21,000 fine. Revoked.

James F. Graham, DPh #8006 – Case 1017: Law seminar, five CE in the calendar year 2011, may not be a pharmacist-in-charge for a period of one year, and \$6,000 fine. (Agreed Order)

CVS/Pharmacy No. 06226, #1-5397 – Case 1018: \$24,000 fine. (Agreed Order)

Heritage Park Pharmacy, #1-4622 – Case 1023: \$3,000 fine. (Agreed Order)

Impaired Technician, Tech #12824 – Case 1012: Probation, law seminar, and OPHP contract. (Agreed Order)

Technician Rules Committee

The Board is planning to convene a Technician Rules Committee for the purpose of reviewing the current technician

regulations and rules for both hospitals and community pharmacies. It is anticipated that the committee will begin meeting in July or August, and will continue to meet on a monthly basis for a year. The committee will be tasked with studying other states' rules and regulations in comparison to Oklahoma, and then making recommendations to the Board on such issues as minimum training, education, testing, permitted duties, and prohibited tasks. If you would like to be considered as a possible member of the committee, please e-mail John Foust at jfoust@pharmacy.ok.gov.

Calendar Notes

The Board will meet April 6 and June 15. The Board will be closed Monday, May 30, in observance of Memorial Day and Monday, July 4, in observance of Independence Day. Future Board dates will be available at www.pharmacy.ok.gov and will be noted in the July Newsletter.

Change of Address or Employment?

All pharmacists, technicians, and interns must notify the Board in writing within 10 days of a change of address or employment.

Special Notice About the Newsletter

The *Oklahoma State Board of Pharmacy Newsletter* is an official method of notification to pharmacies, pharmacists, pharmacy interns, and pharmacy technicians registered by the Board. Please read them carefully. The Board encourages you to keep them for future reference.

Oklahoma Pharmacists Helping Pharmacists

If you or a pharmacist you care about is suffering from chemical dependency, there is a solution. OPHP is readily available for help. Pharmacists in Oklahoma, Texas, and Louisiana may call the OPHP Help-Line at 1-800/260-7574, ext 5773. All calls are confidential.

Let Us Hear From You

The Board welcomes your comments and questions. You may mail them to the Oklahoma State Board of Pharmacy, 4545 Lincoln Blvd, Ste 112, Oklahoma City, OK 73105, fax the Board at 405/521-3758, or e-mail the Board at pharmacy@pharmacy.ok.gov. Visit the Board's Web site at www.pharmacy.ok.gov.

This publication is issued by the Oklahoma State Board of Pharmacy as authorized by Title 59 O.S. 353.7. Copies have not been printed but are available through the agency Web site.

Page 4 – April 2011

The *Oklahoma State Board of Pharmacy News* is published by the Oklahoma State Board of Pharmacy and the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy Foundation, Inc, to promote compliance of pharmacy and drug law. The opinions and views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the official views, opinions, or policies of the Foundation or the Board unless expressly so stated.

John A. Foust, DPh - State News Editor

Carmen A. Catizone, MS, RPh, DPh - National News Editor
& Executive Editor

Larissa Doucette - Communications Manager

Blank

Fwd: Nevada legislative report

LARRY L. PINSON

Sent: Monday, June 20, 2011 3:07 PM

To: Pharmacy Board

Attachments: 2011 Final Report.pdf (109 KB) ; ATT00001.htm (204 B) ; 2011 Bill List.docx (29 KB) ; ATT00002.htm (156 B)

Candy, you can include Fred's final report in the board book (attached) w his other one. Thx!

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "fhillerby@aol.com" <fhillerby@aol.com>
To: "LARRY L. PINSON" <lpinson@pharmacy.nv.gov>, "Carolyn J. Cramer" <ccramer@pharmacy.nv.gov>
Subject: Nevada legislative report

Attached please find the final Nevada Legislative Report and bill listing for the Nevada State Board of Pharmacy. If you have any questions, please advise.
Thank you - Fred

HILLERBY & ASSOCIATES

LEGISLATIVE ADVOCACY
GOVERNMENT RELATIONS

June 18, 2011

The 2011 Nevada Legislature adjourned *sine die* at 1:00 a.m. on June 7 to end their 120 day biennial session. This is only the second time since the 120 day limit began in 1999 that the Legislature has managed to finish within the deadline. In all 1,153 bills and resolutions were introduced, and some 550 passed.

This Session was started, and ended, focused on the budget and taxes. Governor Sandoval proposed a budget that included no taxes or fees, and one which allowed the taxes passed in 2009 to sunset. It would have spent \$5.3 billion in general funds, and nearly a billion more in bond reserves, room tax money, county property tax diversions and other measures. It was attacked from the beginning by Democratic legislators who wanted to extend the 2009 tax increases and possibly implement a new sales tax on services and a "margin tax" on business gross receipts.

In the end, a Supreme Court ruling changed the game dramatically and resulted in a budget that was slightly higher than proposed by the Governor and lower than wanted by the Democratic majorities. The budget debate that ended the Session was accompanied by a variety of bills on subjects from education reform to redistricting/reapportionment. Below are some highlights of 120 days of magic in Carson City.

Taxes

Governor Sandoval started the Session with a strong pledge to veto any tax increases, and with substantial support from the Republican minority. At least four Republicans were needed to pass any tax or fee bill because the Democratic majority did not have the 2/3rds majority required in either house to pass a tax package. While some Assembly Republicans signaled they might support a tax package in exchange for a number of reform measures, their Senate colleagues gave no such sign. This left the Democratic leadership stymied for much of the Session, and resulted in some lengthy and often testy Committee of the Whole meetings on the floors of both houses.

While the Democrats worked to point out the most painful impacts of the cuts contained in the Executive Budget, they stayed largely silent on any plans to pay for filling the holes. Late in the session they introduced both a sales tax on services and the "margins tax" as a long-desired reform of the State's tax system. They envisioned raising some \$1.2 billion in new taxes to be combined with approximately \$300 million in higher revenue projections from existing sources.

Assembly Republicans, however, had never envisioned agreeing to anything more than the approximately \$625 million in 2009 tax increases set to sunset this year. The political problem was twofold- voting for a record tax increase, and a record tax increase that was made up of entirely new taxes.

The session looked increasingly likely to end in a road-rage crash of lawsuits, recriminations and government shutdowns until May 26, when the Supreme Court issued a surprise decision on a case stemming from the 2010 special session and a Legislative grab of \$62M from a southern Nevada water project. The Court ruled that such taking of local and specific purpose funds was unconstitutional. The

implications were that a variety of such measures in the Governor's budget were in jeopardy. The Governor and legislative lawyers ultimately decided that some \$650M could be at risk in the budget.

The Governor suddenly decided that he could support an extension of the sunsets to avoid even further cuts to the budget. This created a completely new political environment and a considerable amount of confusion. Over the next 24-48 hours the Governor declared that he would only support extending the sunsets for 2 years, and wanted the reforms he had included in his budget and legislative priorities as part of the package.

In the end, the 2009 taxes were extended another two years with minor changes, and some of the budget cuts most hated by Democrats were ameliorated. Consumers will continue to pay the 0.35 percent sales tax increase, businesses with payrolls over \$250,000 will pay the Modified Business Tax of 1.17%. The change from the 2009 package will have businesses with payrolls below \$250,000 paying no MBT. The current business license fee will remain in effect, and the State will continue to redirect the proceeds of the Indigent Accident Fund (designed to be used to reimburse hospitals for major bills from uninsured patients).

The Budget

The 2012-13 general fund budget totals \$6.2 billion, and represents a decrease of \$500 million from the current budget. The extension of the sunsets removed the most tenuous budget tricks used to balance the Governor's proposed budget, and is a significant improvement for Washoe and Clark counties who stood to lose more than \$100 million in property tax funds. The final budget also preserves most of the school district bond reserve accounts, and includes a modest increase in per pupil funding over the biennium.

Higher Education will see its proposed cut decreased from \$167 million to about \$85 million. That will be further offset by tuition increases of at least 13%, but there will still be salary cuts, layoffs and program cuts system-wide. The Millennium Scholarship received a one-time infusion of \$10 million to help sustain the program for the coming biennium.

K-12 education will see a small increase in per pupil funding from the State. When factored into their decreased local sources of funds, most districts will see further budget and staff reductions.

Reforms

The Governor and Legislative Republicans outlined a series of reforms in education, public employee salaries and benefits, collective bargaining and construction defects lawsuits. This Session and the budget crisis presented a unique opportunity to enact reforms that the Democratic majorities would never have considered in a more normal session. While neither side was happy in the end, reforms were made in the following areas:

Education: Teacher layoffs can now be determined by factors other than last in, first out. Tenure can now be withheld for up to three years based on evaluations, and tenured teachers can be placed back on probationary status. Reviews can be weighted 50% on student achievement. One of the important reforms successfully demanded by the Governor would prohibit collective bargaining agreements from trumping state law on these reforms. The composition of the State Board of Education will now include a mix of elected and appointed members, and the Governor will now appoint the Superintendent of Public Instruction. Teachers will likely see a pay cut of 2.5%, and will for the first

time make a contribution towards their pensions (these benefits can be altered in contract negotiations with school districts).

State employees: State workers will have a mix of 2.5% pay cuts and 6 furlough days a year, equaling another 2.3% reduction. This was a minor reduction from the proposed 5% pay cut sought by the Governor, but presents agencies with some of the same challenges they face now in managing furloughed employees in their operations. New employees will no longer be eligible for a health insurance subsidy upon retirement, but will get a \$700 per year State contribution to health savings accounts to which they may also contribute. While this does little to lessen the serious unfunded liability of the current benefit, it finally enacts reforms first proposed in 2005.

Local governments: Local government employees will see some limits on the ability of supervisors to join unions, and union contracts will be somewhat more transparent to the public. Local governments could also reopen contracts in a financial emergency. While the reforms fall short of what some of the business community had hoped for, they do represent changes that might have been impossible in any other session in memory.

Construction defects: This was an area of tremendous importance to the construction and related industries, and pitted them squarely against the trial lawyers and their staunch allies among Democrats, particularly in the Assembly. While Assembly Republicans demanded defects reform as a part of their support for taxes, the Supreme Court decision dramatically changed the bargaining dynamic. Because defects reform had not been negotiated before the ruling, and many other issues had been, the clock quickly ran out on efforts to include meaningful reform as part of the budget deal. The desire to pass a budget and go home on time ultimately won out over finalizing reforms. The end of the session was marked by a heated, and ultimately successful, battle to defeat Speaker Ocegüera's bill that was sold as "reform", but would have actually made the current horrible situation even worse.

Healthcare and Insurance

Hospitals, nursing homes and other providers faced a major cut to reimbursement rates that would almost certainly have resulted in the closure of some facilities/programs and the loss of doctors and other providers in the Medicaid arena. The 5% hospital cuts were restored, and nursing homes will see their cuts decreased from \$20 per day to \$5. The continued sweep of the Indigent Accident Fund could present a real problem in the coming biennium, particularly for rural hospitals already under significant financial pressure. The cost of uncompensated care will continue to burden hospitals and add to the cost of insurance and care for paying patients and employers.

The issue of emergency room balance billing and de facto hospital rate setting was brought forward again this session by the Culinary Union and their healthcare coalition insurance plan. Because of their problems with members using out of network emergency rooms and the benefits their plan provides, the coalition has long sought to have the Legislature mandate reimbursement rates for hospitals and providers in emergency room settings. SB 115 would have shifted the unreimbursed costs from the coalition and its members to the rest of the patient population, or to the bottom line of hospitals and doctors forced to absorb the loss. While the Democratic majorities passed the bill, Governor Sandoval listened to the concerns of hospitals, doctors and the other patient populations that would have absorbed these added costs and vetoed the bill. [SB 115 Veto Message](#)

Speaker Oceguera introduced AB 309, a health insurance regulation bill. The bill would have created a new Consumer Advocate and authorized the Commissioner of Insurance to conduct rate hearings on proposed rate increases or decreases (jobs currently done by the Commissioner). It would also have exempted insurers in the Medicaid managed care and the children's health insurance program from the normal financial suitability and other regulation provisions of the current law. The bill further removed the confidentiality provisions regarding trade secrets included in rate filings. The Governor saw that the bill was duplicative and would have resulted in increased costs to consumers and vetoed the bill. [AB 309 Veto Message](#)

Boards and Commissions

State licensing boards and commissions were the subject of considerable scrutiny during the session. A variety of bills and proposals to sunset all boards, review all boards and provide greater gubernatorial control over appointed members were discussed. The Governor appointed his own panel to review boards and commissions created by executive order, and the bulk of the Legislative proposals melded into one Assembly (Debbie Smith's AB 474) and one Senate bill (Ben Kieckhefer's SB 251).

While the bills were somewhat different, in the final days SB 251 was amended to be almost identical to AB 474, and Senator Kieckhefer's bill was the vehicle to finally pass. (It would be more than coincidental to note that Sen. Kieckhefer was also an important swing vote on the final budget deal.) The final legislation will have a special panel review each statutory board and commission to evaluate whether it should be modified, eliminated, consolidated or continued. Any tax abatements, incentives or funds set aside for the Board or Commission will also be evaluated. Each entity will be subject to review every 10 years. The panel will be a sub-committee of the Legislative Commission and review at least 20 boards or commissions each year.

Redistricting and Reapportionment

The Legislature is tasked with this process each decade, and this year saw Nevada once again gain a new Congressional seat. The process, always very political, was complicated by the resignation of Senator John Ensign and the appointment of Congressman Dean Heller to his seat. This necessitates a special election, and that process is currently in the courts and could remain unresolved for several more weeks.

Democratic majorities twice passed their own plans over the unanimous objections of their Republican colleagues, and the Governor greeted each plan with a veto. While there was some talk that the late-session collegiality over the budget might make a redistricting compromise possible, the Legislature apparently decided that much détente was more than they could deliver. A variety of lawsuits now wait for court action that could include choosing one of the Legislative plans, some hybrid of those plans, a new plan designed by the Judge, or even an order for the Legislature to reconvene and finish their job.

Other Issues

While the mining industry spent the bulk of the session under frontal assault in the Legislature, they ended up with only modest increases in their final tax bills. A variety of sports arena proposals battled ferociously during the session, with the Legislature ultimately doing very little except siding with MGM over Caesar's in placing competing ballot questions before voters. The three arena proposals that emerged in the last days of the Session all died on the last night. A bill allowing the Reno City Council to enact room fees and baseball stadium surcharges did pass late on the final night.

The Session saw a record number of freshmen, and their influence was felt in many ways. They brought new perspective to the process, and faced some challenges learning a complicated process in a tight timeframe. Term limits that brought in this class also marked the final session for four Senators and Speaker Ocegüera, and set off an unusually public battle for leadership of the Assembly in 2013. We will see the impacts of term limits and a quickly changing Legislature for many sessions to come.

Attached are bills that we monitored and worked on for the Nevada State Board of Pharmacy. Obviously we are not pleased with AB1 however it is the responsibility of the Legislative Council Bureau to develop a form to be used when requesting this financial information. Please let us know when you receive a request for that information. Although AB474 failed, the provisions of that bill were included in SB251 which did pass. Please pay special attention to the enrolled version of Assembly Bill 199. Senator Schneider amended this bill very late in the session to include his repeal of the absolute prohibition against the use of the letters "RX". If you have any questions concerning any of these bills, please let us know.

Bill List Attached
FLH/MDH/jcm

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY

Bills that Passed:

AB1 Requires periodic reporting of financial information by certain governmental entities.

(BDR S-49) <http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB1.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB1_R1.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB1_EN.pdf

AB59 Makes various changes to the Open Meeting Law. (BDR 19-288)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB59.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB59_R1.pdf

2nd reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB59_R2.pdf

3rd reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB59_R3.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB59_EN.pdf

AB63 Revises provisions relating to the duties of, and services provided by, the Office of the Attorney General. (BDR 18-203)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB63.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB63_R1.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB63_EN.pdf

AB199 Revises provisions governing the practice of pharmacy. (BDR 54-875)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB199.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB199_R1.pdf

2nd reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB199_R2.pdf

3rd reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB199_R3.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB199_EN.pdf

AB201 Revises provisions pertaining to informational statements provided for the adoption of administrative regulations. (BDR 18-83)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB201.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB201_R1.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB201_EN.pdf

AB240 Revises provisions governing contracts for services entered into by certain public employers. (BDR 23-149)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB240.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB240_R1.pdf

2nd reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB240_R2.pdf

3rd reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB240_R3.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB240_EN.pdf

AB257 Revises provisions relating to the Open Meeting Law. (BDR 19-107)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB257.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB257_R1.pdf

2nd reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB257_R2.pdf

3rd reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB257_R3.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB257_EN.pdf

AB537 Revises provisions governing prohibited acts for certain health care practitioners. (BDR 54-1115)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB537.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB537_R1.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB537_EN.pdf

SB7 Revises provisions governing the adoption of emergency regulations. (BDR 18-13)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB7.pdf>

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB7_EN.pdf

SB37 Makes various changes concerning complaints received by a health care licensing board. (BDR 54-106)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB37.pdf>

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB37_EN.pdf

SB43 Makes various changes relating to electronic health records. (BDR 40-443)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB43.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB43_R1.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB43_EN.pdf

SB89 Revises provisions governing audits and reviews of financial statements of common-interest communities. (BDR 10-595)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB89.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB89_R1.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB89_EN.pdf

SB114 Revises provisions relating to controlled substances. (BDR 40-190)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB114.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB114_R1.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB114_EN.pdf

SB168 Makes various changes concerning public health. (BDR 54-837)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB168.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB168_R1.pdf

2nd reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB168_R2.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB168_EN.pdf

SB251 Creates the Nevada Sunset Commission to evaluate certain governmental programs and services. (BDR 18-745)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB251.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB251_R1.pdf

2nd reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB251_R2.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB251_EN.pdf

SB267 Revises provisions governing personal information. (BDR 52-110)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB267.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB267_R1.pdf

2nd reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB267_R2.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB267_EN.pdf

SB329 Revises provisions governing prescriptions. (BDR 54-904)

http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/BDR/BDR76_54-0904.pdf

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB329_R1.pdf

2nd reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB329_R2.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB329_EN.pdf

SB411 Provides for the regulation of certified medication aides. (BDR 54-1104)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB411.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB411_R1.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB411_EN.pdf

SB419 Establishes provisions relating to safe injection practices. (BDR 40-518)

<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB419.pdf>

1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB419_R1.pdf

2nd reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB419_R2.pdf

Enrolled: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB419_EN.pdf

Bills that Failed:

AB21 Makes certain occupational licensing boards and commissions subject to the same requirements as other agencies of the Executive Department of the State Government. (BDR 31-409) <http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB21.pdf> Failed first house committee passage deadline

AB221 Establishes provisions governing certain acts of pharmacists. (BDR 54-1015) <http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB221.pdf> Failed First House Passage Deadline

AB239 Requires public bodies to post on their websites, if any, certain material and records related to meetings of the public body. (BDR 19-527)
<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB239.pdf> Failed first house committee passage deadline

AB323 Requires the establishment and maintenance of an Internet website to provide information concerning consumer fraud in this State. (BDR 52-313)
<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB323.pdf>
1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB323_R1.pdf - Exempt
6/6/11 – No further action taken

AB335 Imposes excise tax on use of certain services in this State and extends prospective expiration of certain sources and allocations of tax revenue. (BDR 32-882)
<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB335.pdf> Failed first house committee passage deadline

AB336 Imposes a tax on certain income of business entities engaged in business in this State. (BDR 32-623) <http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB336.pdf>
6/6/11 – No further action taken

AB339 Requires certain substances known as synthetic marijuana to be included on the list of schedule I controlled substances. (BDR 40-546)
<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB339.pdf> Failed First House Passage Deadline

AB349 Revises provisions relating to controlled substances. (BDR 40-1043)
<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB349.pdf>
Failed first house committee passage deadline

AB389 Revises provisions regarding the Open Meeting Law. (BDR 19-226)
<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB389.pdf>
1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB389_R1.pdf
Failed second house committee passage deadline

AB406 Creates the Evaluation and Sunset Advisory Commission. (BDR 18-584)
<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB406.pdf>
1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB406_R1.pdf - Exempt
2nd reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB406_R2.pdf
6/7/11 – No further action taken

AB421 Revises provisions governing dispensing of certain drugs and medications. (BDR 54-768) <http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB421.pdf> Failed first house committee passage deadline

AB438 Revises provisions governing the medical use of marijuana. (BDR 40-1066)
<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB438.pdf> Failed first house committee passage deadline

AB474 Creates the Sunset Subcommittee of the Legislative Commission to review certain boards and commissions. (BDR 18-889)
<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB474.pdf>
1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB474_R1.pdf - Exempt
2nd reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/AB/AB474_R2.pdf
6/6/11 – No further action taken

SB56 Revises provisions governing the entities required to use the services and equipment of the Department of Information Technology. (BDR 19-426)
<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB56.pdf> Failed first house committee passage deadline

SB203: Revises provisions relating to the classification and dispensing of certain precursors to methamphetamine. (BDR 40-648)
<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB203.pdf> Failed first house committee passage deadline

SB224 Requires certain substances known as fake cocaine to be included on the list of schedule I controlled substances. (BDR 40-990)
<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB224.pdf> Failed first house committee passage deadline

SB228 Requires certain substances known as synthetic marijuana to be included on the list of schedule I controlled substances. (BDR 40-698)
<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB228.pdf> Failed first house committee passage deadline

SB270 Revises provisions governing qualifications for licensure for certain health care practitioners. (BDR 54-379) <http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB270.pdf>
Failed first house committee passage deadline

SB336 Revises certain provisions relating to prescription drugs. (BDR 40-234)
http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/BDR/BDR76_40-0234.pdf - Exempt
6/7/11 – No further action taken

SB354 Makes various changes to regulatory bodies of professions, occupations and businesses. (BDR 54-254) <http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB354.pdf>
1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB354_R1.pdf
Failed second house committee passage deadline

SB359 Revises provisions relating to contracts with a governmental entity. (BDR 23-973)
<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB359.pdf>
1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB359_R1.pdf - Exempt
6/7/11 – No further action taken

SB367 Requires certain health care practitioners to communicate certain information to the public. (BDR 54-625) <http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB367.pdf>
1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB367_R1.pdf
Failed second house committee passage deadline

SB391 Revises provisions relating to ethics in government. (BDR 23-1116)
<http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB391.pdf>
1st reprint: http://www.leg.state.nv.us/Session/76th2011/Bills/SB/SB391_R1.pdf
Failed second house committee passage deadline



MEMORANDUM

TO: LARRY PINSON, PHARMD
FROM: DEBRA ETLIN WYNN, PPRA SECRETARY AND IPPE/APPE ASSISTANT
IDAHO STATE UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE OF PHARMACY
PHONE: (208) 282-2586
SUBJECT: PRECEPTOR EVALUATION
DATE: 6/3/2011

**COLLEGE OF
PHARMACY**

**Department
of Pharmacy
Practice and
Administrative
Sciences**

921 South 8th Avenue,
Stop 8333
Pocatello, Idaho
83209-8333

I have enclosed a summary sheet for the online evaluations that were completed by your P4 Advanced Pharmacy Practice Experience students during the 2010-2011 APPE year. As well as a blank copy of the instrument used in the evaluation process. Comments are printed exactly as typed by the students without any attempt to correct spelling, grammar, or inconsistencies. This copy is for your records. A copy will be put in your preceptor file as well.

JUN - 6 2011

**Instructor: Larry Pinson
Year: 2010-2011**

Question	Average Score
1. Adequately oriented me to the practice site.	1.00
2. Clearly identified the goals of the session, the performance expectations, and the approach to evaluation.	1.00
3. Appropriately oriented me to each new activity and/or experiences.	1.00
Completion of Objectives.	
1. The practice site provided sufficient opportunity for me to meet all of the core experience objectives.	1.00
2. The practice site provided sufficient opportunity for me to meet all of the site-specific objectives.	1.00
3. Resources were readily available on site to complete the objectives.	1.00
4. The instructor was sufficiently present and/or accessible to facilitate attainment of the objectives.	1.00
5. Estimated number of hours per week spent in direct contact with instructor.	40.00
Fostering Independent Practice -- My Instructor:	
1. Demonstrated the integration of didactic knowledge into practice.	1.00
2. Provided sufficient experience opportunities to foster my independence.	1.00
As a Mentor -- My Instructor:	
1. Regularly and in a timely manner informed me of my overall progress.	1.00
2. Was able to recognize my areas of weakness.	1.00
3. Was aware and could interpret my concerns and frustrations.	1.00
4. Could suggest useful mechanisms to enhance my strengths and fortify areas of weakness.	1.00
5. Served in a manner I would emulate given a similar position and environment.	1.00
Overall -- My Instructor:	

1. Motivated me to do my best work.	1.00
2. Was able to assist in my career development.	1.00

Site Strengths

- overall a great experience. Glad I could do it! Opened my eyes to the pharmacy from the regulation standpoint

Preceptor Strengths

- Excellent, could not have asked for a better preceptor

Advanced Pharmacy Practice Experience Preceptor Evaluation Form

Practice Site:

Practice Instructor:

Please read each statement carefully, then select the alternative that best corresponds with your evaluation of the statement – such that:

1=Strongly Agree 2=Agree 3=Neutral 4=Disagree 5=Strongly Disagree

Orientation to the Session – My Instructor:

1. Adequately oriented me to the practice site.
2. Clearly identified the goals of the session, the performance expectations, and the approach to evaluation.
3. Appropriately oriented me to each new activity and/or experiences.

Completion of Objectives.

1. The practice site provided sufficient opportunity for me to meet all of the core experience objectives.
2. The practice site provided sufficient opportunity for me to meet all of the site-specific objectives.
3. Resources were readily available on site to complete the objectives.
4. The instructor was sufficiently present and/or accessible to facilitate attainment of the objectives.
5. Estimated number of hours per week spent in direct contact with instructor.

Fostering Independent Practice – My Instructor:

1. Demonstrated the integration of didactic knowledge into practice.
2. Provided sufficient experience opportunities to foster my independence.

As a Mentor – My Instructor:

1. Regularly and in a timely manner informed me of my overall progress.
2. Was able to recognize my areas of weakness.
3. Was aware and could interpret my concerns and frustrations.
4. Could suggest useful mechanisms to enhance my strengths and fortify areas of weakness.
5. Served in a manner I would emulate given a similar position and environment.

Overall – My Instructor:

1. Motivated me to do my best work.
2. Was able to assist in my career development.

Please identify the primary strengths and weaknesses of the site and the Instructor/Instruction and, where applicable, factors that might have enhanced your experience (particularly helpful where "5" or "6" responses were given to evaluation statements):

SITE

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

Instructor/Instruction

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

Suggestions for enhancement:

Blank

May 11, 2011

I am the Gifted Education Specialist at our school, Glen Taylor ES. A few years ago, 2007, my students began working on a project to get a bill drafted and later to support an existing bill. The students recruited students and parents from other schools to get involved. The bill which is now a law was to allow the donation of unused cancer medication. In 2009 the students were able to see their hard work pay off with the passing of this legislation. Then in 2010 the students began a second campaign asking the State Board of Pharmacy why the program was not in place. Now in 2011, the third campaign of letters began which is included with this packet. We are in search of pharmacies that might sign up as providers where people can drop off their donations for people in need.

Thank you for reading these letters from my students. Although the kids are held to the highest standards, time restraints restricted the editing of these letters. Please excuse any grammatical or spelling errors as these letters were written from the heart.

At the request of the students, you were carefully chosen to be the recipient of these letters. Thank you so much for taking the time to read them. Please understand that many of the students have gone on to middle school and still return to ask about the status of our project.

We hope you can help!

Mrs. Ayala
Gifted Education Specialist
Glen Taylor ES
2655 Siena Heights Dr
Henderson NV 89052

MAY 20 2011

Glen Taylor ES
Gifted Education Department
2655 Siena Heights Dr.
Henderson, NV 89052

April 27, 2011

Dear Costco Pharmacist:

Have you ever thought about the people with cancer and those who die from it? Have you ever thought that you can make a difference in their lives? Well, you can by going

<http://bop.nv.gov/CancerDrugDonationProgram.htm>

There, you can become a provider. If you would like to do this, we would be very grateful.

Sincerely,

Emerald Green

Emerald Green

Glen Taylor ES
Gifted Education Department
2655 Siena Heights Drive
Henderson, NV 89052

April 28, 2011

Dear Walmart Pharmacist:

Would you please be one of the first sponsors for the Cancer Drug Donation Program? This program lets people donate unused cancer medication for those who cant afford it. If you participate in this program you will save thousands of lives. People will not waste expensive cancer medication and that will save a lot of money! If you would like to participate go to <http://bop.nv.gov/CancerDrugDonationProgram.htm> . It is up to you to save those lives!!! So, what are you waiting for?

Sincerely,

Jaydon D. Ayache

Kyle T. Bowman

William T. Duran

Ages 10 and 11

*Glen Taylor ES
Gifted Education Department
2655 Siena Heights Drive
Henderson NV 89052*

April 27, 2011

Dear Walgreens Pharmacist,

We think it is important to bring this fact to your attention: the law that pharmacists can allow their customers to donate unused and unopened cancer medicine to citizens who cannot currently afford it has been passed. We strongly suggest that you should sign up for the Nevada State Cancer Donation Program as a drop off location. For more information about this program, please visit <http://bop.nv.gov/>.

Thank you for all of your help!

Sincerely,

*Julia, 9
Sydney, 10
Thomas, 10*

Mark R. Lyons, Resource Specialist
Glen C. Taylor Elementary School
2655 Siena Heights Drive
Henderson, NV 89052

May 11, 2011

Dear Pharmacist:

Each year, thousands become the victim of cancer. In Nevada alone, over 11,000 individuals contract some form of cancer and 4600 die of it. One reason some die is that needy cancer patients cannot afford proper treatment since most cancer drugs are often extremely expensive. Now, thanks to the Nevada Cancer Drug Donation Act, passed in 2009, cancer survivors or the families of deceased cancer patients can donate their unused cancer medication to the less fortunate, but only via participating Nevada pharmacies listed with the Nevada Board of Pharmacy, who then, in turn, prescribe the medication to a needy cancer patient. However, to date, the Board of Pharmacy has not provided a list of participating pharmacies in which to accept donations or prescribe donated medicine to needy patients.

As a concerned citizen, a teacher, and a former cancer patient, I am asking if you have applied to the Nevada Board of Pharmacy to add your business to the list of participating pharmacies. I have also enclosed letters from students at my school who are asking for your support of this very important cause. If you have, thank you, and I encourage you to get the word out to your customers and to potential cancer drug donors as soon as possible, as how to donate cancer medicines to you.

If you have not applied, I would urgently ask you to visit the State of Nevada Board of Pharmacy website, and apply to place your business name on the list. That website is:

<http://bop.nv.gov/CancerDrugDonationProgram.htm>

Much ballyhoo has been made about government-run health care and its inordinate costs. Now, via the Nevada Cancer Drug Donation Program, private individuals can make an impact upon the health care of needy Nevadans with cancer without spending millions of taxpayer dollars. We need Nevada pharmacies like yours to be good neighbors and to make this program work. Can we count on you? Lives are at stake.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark R. Lyons

5-12-11

Dear Pharmacist,

Did you know people can donate their unopened cancer medicines to needy cancer patients? We need drug stores to volunteer to take the donated drugs and prescribe them to needy cancer patients. One of my uncles died of cancer when he had cancer this might had helped him. Can you please help? Go to <http://bop.nv.gov/CancerDrugDonationProgram.htm>.

thank you

Casey Ostrom

5-1.

Dear Pharmacist,

Did you know people can donate their unopened cancer medicines to needy cancer patients. We need drug stores to volunteer to take the donated drugs and prescribe them to needy cancer patients. Can you please help? Go to <http://bop.nv.gov/CancerDrugsDonationProgram.htm>.

Thank you,
Sincerely,

Stetson Hardisty

10/10/12

Dear Pharmacist,

Did you know people can donate their unused cancer medicines to needy cancer patients. We need dr. Steroids to volunteer to take the drugs and prescribe them to needy cancer patients. Can you please help go to <http://bope.nv.gov/cancerdrugsprogram.htm>

Thank you.

Jack Smith

PROVIDE! DONATE! HELP!

DONATE!
PLEASE DONATE YOUR

PROVIDE!

UNUSED CANCER MEDS.

TO PEOPLE WITH
CANCER!

HELP!

GO TO
NEVAD STATE
BOARD OF
PHARMACY
FOR MORE INFO.

Save The People

With Cancer



Help Change The
WORLD!!

Donate unused...

For more information visit the Nevada State Board of Pharmacy! Ask your local pharmacy to be a part of this program now!

<http://bop.nv.gov/CancerDrugDonationProgram.htm>

Cancer medicine

You can Save a Life

[http://pop.nv.gov/cancer/Donation Program.htm](http://pop.nv.gov/cancer/DonationProgram.htm)



Please

Donate

US\$



Cancer
medicine



benign



400/1100, 100/1000


From an...

PLEASE VISIT

URSED

CANCER MEDICINE!!

BY MABELYNN



http://www.ourservicemembers.com

5-12-11

Dear Pharmacist,

Did you know people can donate their unused cancer medicines to needy cancer patients? We need drug stores to volunteer to take these donated drugs and prescribe them to needy cancer patients. Can you please help? Go to <http://60p.nv.gov/cancerDrugDonationProgram.htm>. Thank you.

Bayden Milstead



Headquarters
5005 LBJ Freeway, Suite 250 Dallas, Texas 75244
1-877 GO KOMEN (1-877-465-6636)
www.komen.org

JUN - 6 2011

May 31, 2011

Nevada State Board Of Pharmacy
431 W Plumb Ln
Reno, NV 89509

Dear Komen Supporter:

Thank you so much for your gift of \$100.00 to Susan G. Komen for the Cure® in memory of Diane Hegeduis. Your generous gesture will go a long way toward helping us create a world without breast cancer.

With the help of friends like you, we have been able to invest more than \$1.9 billion in the fight to end breast cancer forever. That makes us the world's largest source of nonprofit funds dedicated to researching the causes and developing cures for breast cancer. In fact, dedicated friends like you have helped Susan G. Komen for the Cure support virtually every major advance in breast cancer research over the past 28 years.

But research is only one part of the fight.

At Komen, we're also dedicated to empowering every woman and man with breast cancer to seek the quality breast health care and treatment they deserve. Across the nation, Komen serves the needs of millions of people by leading education programs and supporting thousands of community health organizations. Because the more resources are available to people, present and future, the better equipped they will be to win their fight.

Thank you for being a part of this vital effort. For believing in our promise to save lives and end breast cancer. And for your ongoing generosity. Our success is only possible with the continued support of loyal friends like you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "LaSalle D. Leffall Jr.".

LaSalle D. Leffall, Jr., M.D.
Chairperson, Komen Board of Directors

To comply with the IRS requirements regarding charitable donations, we affirm that no goods or services have been provided to you, in whole or in part, in consideration for your contribution. This letter will serve as confirmation of your donation for income tax purposes.

The greatest risk factors for breast cancer are being female and growing older.

Blank



Nevada State Board of Pharmacy

431 W. PLUMB LANE • RENO, NEVADA 89509
(775) 850-1440 • 1-800-364-2081 • FAX (775) 850-1444
E-mail: pharmacy@pharmacy.nv.gov • Website: bop.nv.gov

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY

ACTIVITIES REPORT

JUNE 1, 2011 BOARD MEETING HELD IN RENO, NEVADA

This report is prepared and presented to keep interested legislators and others abreast of the activities of the Nevada State Board of Pharmacy. Following is a summary of the June, 2011 Board meeting.

Licensing Activity:

- 10 licenses were granted for Out-of-State MDEG (Medical Devices, Equipment and Gases) companies.
- 5 licenses were granted for Out-of-State pharmacies.
- 11 licenses were granted for Out-of-State wholesalers.
- 3 licenses were granted for a Nevada pharmacy (pending inspection).
- 1 license was granted for a Nevada MDEG company.
- 1 license was granted for a Nevada wholesaler.

Disciplinary Action:

- Pharmacist MM was ordered into Your Success Rx (remedial training) for the misfiling of two prescriptions and pharmacy SD was fined \$750 and ordered to make policy & procedure changes as well as physical changes to their pharmacy to address the above.
- Pharmaceutical technician AB withdrew her application for reinstatement after the Board voiced their concerns about her methadone recovery program.
- Pharmaceutical technician in training NE was granted registration after satisfactorily answering questions about a past drug misdemeanor.
- Pharmaceutical technician HM was reinstated after demonstrating significant changes in her past behavior.
- Pharmacists JC and MC as well as RX Pharmacy were all ordered into Your Success Rx (remedial training) for filling for misfiling a prescription and failure to follow up on the patients concerns.
- Pharmacist SS was granted a request to reciprocate his license after satisfactorily completing a recovery program in Oregon.
- Pharmacist HP was denied a request to reciprocate his license due to past felony convictions for theft from his employer.

- Pharmacist ZB was granted reinstatement of his license however if he moves to Nevada, must enroll in the PRN-PRN recovery program before being allowed to practice.

Other Activity:

- The usual Board business reports were given, including recent and future speaking engagements.
- The reporting of TB patients to the appropriate health department authorities by pharmacists was discussed.